1

03:14:36 1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3	
4	SZ DJI TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. and DJI EUROPE B.V, : CIVIL ACTION:
5	Plaintiffs, :
6	AUTEL ROBOTICS USA LLC, AUTEL :
7	AERIAL TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD. And AUTEL :
8	INTELLIGENT TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., : NO. 16-706-LPS
9	Defendants.
10	Wilmington, Delaware
11	Thursday, May 25, 2017 Telephone Conference
12	
13	BEFORE: HONORABLE LEONARD P. STARK, Chief Judge
14	APPEARANCES:
15	
16	RICHARDS LAYTON & FINGER, LLP BY: KELLY E. FARNAN, ESQ., and
17	CHRISTINE D. HAYNES, ESQ.
18	and
19	ANOVA LAW GROUP, LLP BY: DAVID M. FARNUM, ESQ., and
20	SHERRY X. WU, ESQ. (Sterling, Virginia)
21	Counsel for Plaintiff
22	YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP
23	BY: ANNE SHEA GAZA, ESQ., and ROBERT M. VRANA, ESQ.
24	and
25	Brian P. Gaffigan
	Registered Merit Reporter

2

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

2

3

4

5

STEPTOE & JOHNSON, LLP

BY: JOHN CARACAPPA, ESQ., and SCOTT M. RICHEY, ESQ., and

(Washington, District of Columbia)

Counsel for Defendants

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

02:19:12 13

02:34:07 15

02:34:10 16

02:34:12 17

02:34:17 18

02:34:20 19

02:34:23 20

02:34:24 21

02:34:25 22

02:34:30 23

02:34:33 24

02:34:37 25

- 000 -

PROCEEDINGS

(REPORTER'S NOTE: The following telephone conference was held in chambers, beginning at 2:34 p.m.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. This is Judge Stark. Who is there, please?

MS. FARNAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is for the plaintiffs Kelly Farnan and Christine Haynes from Richards Layton & Finger; and we have our co-counsel, Sherry Wu and David Farnum from Anova Law.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. GAZA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. It's

Anne Gaza and Rob Vrana from Young Conaway on behalf of the

Autel defendants. We're joined today by John Caracappa and

Scott Richey of Steptoe & Johnson.

MR. CARACAPPA: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. I have my court
reporter here with me. For the record, it is our case of SZ
DJI Technology Company Limited, et al versus Autel Robotics
USA, LLC, et al, Civil Action No. 16-706-LPS-CJB.
I have set this call because we have the
preliminary injunction hearing still scheduled on our calendary

02:35:02 7

02:35:07 8

02:35:14 9

02:35:17 10

02:35:21 11

02:35:24 12

02:35:28 13

02:35:29 14

02:35:33 15

02:35:36 16

02:35:41 17

02:35:48 18

02:35:51 19

02:35:53 20

02:35:5621

02:35:59 22

02:36:02 23

02:36:05 24

02:36:06 25

preliminary injunction hearing still scheduled on our calendar for next Thursday for June 1st, but I know there have been requests to move it and we have been unable, through informal efforts, to figure out a date I guess that works for both sides and me, so I'm not sure what to do about that and thought we might as well just have a conversation about it. So why don't I hear from the plaintiffs what you propose we do.

MR. FARNUM: Sure. Your Honor, this is David Farnum for DJI.

So I think our feeling on this is initially
Autel has, through this process, contacted us about a
continuance. And we were willing to agree to a short one,
subject to working it out with the Court's schedule, and it
seems at this point all we have been able to do at best is
get some dates the following week that are sort of pencilled
in, so to speak, and my clients are concerned obviously that
if things don't work out, then we have no idea how long this
may take.

So we're prepared to go forward next week. I

02:36:12 1 02:36:15 2 02:36:18 3 02:36:21 4 02:36:21 5 02:36:24 6 02:36:28 7 02:36:31 8 02:36:36 9 02:36:39 10 02:36:42 11 02:36:46 12 02:36:48 13 02:36:52 14 02:36:57 15 02:37:00 16 02:37:04 17 02:37:10 18 02:37:14 19 02:37:1920 02:37:20 21 02:37:24 22 02:37:27 23

02:37:28 24

02:37:32 25

think that this is maybe -- I'm not sure exactly what Autel's position is at this point as to whether they wouldn't be able to go forward next week or if they have to have a continuance or where they currently stand on that. It depends.

Like I said, we certainly don't want this
hearing to be pushed off very far. We're willing to be
reasonable to some extent here. But if we are going to pen
in a date into July or August or something like that, I
don't think that is, given the nature of the hearing, the
preliminary injunction would be fair to our client.

THE COURT: Right. And, Mr. Farnum, what is your sense at the moment as to how long a time this hearing should take? And are you anticipating presenting any live witnesses or evidence?

MR. FARNUM: We're not currently anticipating presenting live witnesses, given that Autel was not either. They can certainly address that. So as a result, I don't -- I think this hearing is probably on the order of two to three hours at the most.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. We'll come back to you, but let me hear from Autel at this point what your position is.

MR. CARACAPPA: Thank you, Your Honor. So with respect to the time for the hearing, we don't think it will

02:37:36 1	last longer than two hours as well. We don't plan on
02:37:39 2	calling any witnesses.
02:37:41 3	This started at my request. I got married two
02:37:44 4	weeks ago. I have plans with my wife to take her away
02:37:48 5	starting that Thursday. I asked plaintiffs for a short
02:37:53 6	postponement in the hearing, and that is where we are.
02:37:57 7	It seems like they have agreed but they don't
02:37:59 8	want it to go too far, which we certainly understand.
02:38:02 9	THE COURT: All right. Well, congratulations,
02:38:04 10	first of all.
02:38:05 11	So the hearing will be two hours. We'll give
02:38:09 12	an hour to each side. And we won't have any live witnesses.
02:38:14 13	So that much is established.
02:38:17 14	June 1st, I take it. And that was Mr. Caracappa
02:38:23 15	speaking; is that right?
02:38:25 16	MR. CARACAPPA: Yes, Your Honor. I apologize.
02:38:27 17	It was.
02:38:27 18	THE COURT: That's okay. At this point, it
02:38:31 19	would require you to change your plans with your wife if we
02:38:34 20	were to go ahead with the hearing at 10:00 o'clock next
02:38:37 21	Thursday and have you out of here by 12:30, let's say?
02:38:43 22	MR. CARACAPPA: It would require me to change my
02:38:45 23	plans, but I can certainly do it if the Court has no other
02:38:48 24	time available.
02:38:48 25	THE COURT: Well, the situation is that I have

02:38:56 1 02:39:02 2 02:39:06 3 02:39:12 4 02:39:16 5 02:39:21 6 02:39:24 7 02:39:28 8 02:39:31 9 02:39:35 10 02:39:37 11 02:39:44 12 02:39:48 13 02:39:52 14 02:40:00 15 02:40:06 16 02:40:12 17 02:40:15 18 02:40:20 19 02:40:2620 02:40:31 21 02:40:34 22 02:40:37 23

02:40:40 24

02:40:43 25

this -- I have hearings all day the following Monday and Tuesday, and I don't even know when you are back. And then I have a criminal trial scheduled for the 7th, 8th, and 9th, criminal trial. I've got the pretrial conference actually now not until well into next week. You never know, of course, if that trial is going to happen. The defendant right now says it's going to happen. And even though it is scheduled for three days, it could end up taking two, two and-a-half days or we might be able to do a two-hour hearing while the jury is deliberating, but I can't make you any promises on the 7th, 8th, 9th. And then the following two weeks are consecutive patent trials that to all appearances at least are going to happen. And that takes us to the last week of June. And we've got hearings and other events 26th, 27th. And so realistically the earliest I could give you a date that I am highly confident I could stick to would be the morning of June 28th.

So I guess that raises a question for Mr. Farnum. What do you think of Wednesday, June 28th?

MR. FARNUM: To speak frankly, Your Honor, I think that is a lot longer than my client would like. You know, if you are obviously inclined to grant the continuance and give us the date, then that would be the date that we have. But that is certainly much longer than we would want.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. Mr. Caracappa, do you

02:40:46 1 02:40:51 2 02:40:52 3 02:40:56 4 02:40:57 5 02:40:59 6 02:41:04 7 02:41:11 8 02:41:15 9 02:41:20 10 02:41:24 11 02:41:27 12 02:41:30 13 02:41:35 14 02:41:37 15 02:41:41 16 02:41:43 17 02:41:45 18 02:41:48 19 02:41:4920 02:41:54 21 02:41:58 22 02:42:01 23 02:42:04 24 02:42:12 25

have any response or anything you want to add?

MR. CARACAPPA: No, Your Honor. I understand the plaintiffs' position and nothing else to add at this point.

THE COURT: Well, I guess the only other question, we had proposed sort of having you all on standby for some portion of the trial, the criminal trial currently scheduled 7th, 8th and 9th. I don't have in front of me where you are all coming from. Is that impractical, Mr. Farnum, from your side?

MR. FARNUM: No. I mean assuming we could have a date in advance, Your Honor, or is that something you maybe know that morning?

THE COURT: I'm not sure, but I might, I might be able to answer that. But, Mr. Caracappa, is that unworkable on your end?

MR. CARACAPPA: No, that is workable, Your
Honor. We're in DC, so we can hop on a train the second you
tell us we have to be there.

might make people in the building unhappy, but I'm going to let my jury go at 4:30. I mean if we're really only talking about a two-hour hearing, we could go ahead and schedule this for the 7th, 8th, or 9th say at 4:30. And if my trial ends early that day or, you know, doesn't happen, we could

02:42:16 1 02:42:19 2 02:42:21 3 02:42:26 4 02:42:32 5 02:42:35 6 02:42:40 7 02:42:44 8 02:42:48 9 02:42:53 10 02:42:55 11 02:42:55 12 02:42:57 13 02:43:00 14 02:43:03 15 02:43:07 16 02:43:07 17 02:43:09 18 02:43:14 19 02:43:1920 02:43:22 21 02:43:26 22 02:43:32 23

02:43:34 24

02:43:38 25

course.

try to move it to a more reasonable time if we can get you enough notice.

If I were to go in that direction, which of the

7th, 8th, or 9th, if any, works out best for the plaintiffs?

MR. FARNUM: So if you want to go that way, Your

Honor, any of those days would work. If you were -- you

know, I don't know, obviously the 7th still works for Autel.

It might make sense to plan on doing that on the 7th. That

would be fine by us, assuming the Court is willing to go

later in the day, which we would greatly appreciate, of

THE COURT: Mr. Caracappa, are you back from the vacation by the 7th?

MR. CARACAPPA: I am only gone for four days,
Your Honor. I am back and I am flexible on all three of
those dates, yes.

a solution here. I think we should reschedule this hearing for June 7th at 4:30. If say my trial goes away, we'll just reach out to you and see if you want to move it to a different time or possibly to the 8th or the 9th. But on the assumption nothing changes with my trial calendar, we'll see you on the 7th at 4:30.

Are there any questions about that from plaintiffs?

MR. FARNUM: No. No. Thank you for helping us

9

02:43:41 1	resolve this, Your Honor.
02:43:42 2	THE COURT: Okay. And from defendants?
02:43:44 3	MR. CARACAPPA: Your Honor, I thank both the
02:43:46 4	Court and DJI's counsel. No questions.
02:43:51 5	THE COURT: All right. Have a nice trip, and we
02:43:53 6	will see you all on the 7th. Good-bye.
02:43:56 7	(Telephone conference ends at 2:43 p.m.)
02:44:08 8	
02:44:08 9	I hereby certify the foregoing is a true and accurate
10	transcript from my stenographic notes in the proceeding.
11	/s/ Brian P. Gaffigan
12	Official Court Reporter U.S. District Court
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	